

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,304 11		11/27/2001	Robert H. Kraus JR.	S-94,769	8960	
35068	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
		CALIFORNIA IONAL LABORATO	DO, PENSEE T			
P.O. BOX 1663, MS A187				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ALA	MOS, NM	1 87545		1641	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/995,304	KRAUS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pensee T. Do	1641			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 March 2002.				
·		This action is non-final.	•			
3)	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen		∧ □ · .	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of separating a sample, classified in class
 436, subclass 545.
- II. Claims 17-38, drawn to a method of analyzing a sample, classified in class435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 39-60, drawn to a method of collecting a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
- IV. Claims 61-63, drawn to a method of detecting multiple analytes by exposing a pooled population of particles, classified in class 436, subclass 525.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods, which require different steps (detecting step in invention II). One is a method of separating; the other is a method of analyzing a sample. Thus, both methods have different modes of operation, different effects and different functions. These methods also are not disclosed as capable of use together. Inventions I and III are unrelated because

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/995,304

Art Unit: 1641

invention III is a method of collecting the sample, which has a step of collecting the sample. This step causes a different effect, mode of operation, and function in the method of invention III. Inventions I and IV are unrelated because the method of invention IV is a method of detecting multiple analytes vs. the method of invention I which is a method of separating the sample. These two methods are not capable of use together and have different modes of operation, functions and effects. Inventions II and III are two different methods which are not capable of use together, have different effects, modes of operation and functions. The method of invention II requires a detecting step while the method of invention III is a method of collecting the sample, which does not require a detecting step. The same reason applies to the relationship between invention III and IV. Inventions II and IV have different modes of operation, effects and functions and are not capable of use together because invention IV detects multiple analytes while invention II detects single analytes. A special detector must be used to detect multiple analytes and preparation of multiple reagents must be performed as to compare with the requirements of the method of detecting a single analyte. Such difference would result in different modes of operation, effects and functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III or IV, restriction for

Application/Control Number: 09/995,304

Art Unit: 1641

examination purposes as indicated is proper. Although inventions II, III and IV belong to the same class, they are in separate subclasses, which would result in a search burden.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pensee T. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-0819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,304

Art Unit: 1641

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pensee T. Do Patent Examiner August 25, 2004

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800/64/

Christyl L. Chin